arXiv:1606.01890v1 [math.AP] 5 Jun 2016

A CHARACTERISTIC OF LOCAL EXISTENCE FOR FRACTIONAL HEAT EQUATIONS IN LEBESGUE SPACES

KEXUE LI

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the fractional heat equation $u_t = \triangle^{\alpha/2}u + f(u)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the ball $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\triangle^{\alpha/2}$ is the fractional Laplacian, $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing. We present the characterisations of f to ensure the equation has a local solution in $L^q(B_R)$ provided that the non-negative initial data $u_0 \in L^q(B_R)$. For $q > 1$ and $1 < \alpha \le 2$, we show that the equation has a local solution in $L^q(B_R)$ if and only if $\lim_{s\to\infty} \sup s^{-(1+\alpha q/d)} f(s) = \infty$; and for $q = 1$ and $1 < \alpha \le 2$ if and only if $\int_1^\infty s^{-(1+\alpha/d)} F(s)ds < \infty$, where $F(s) = \sup_{1\le t\le s} f(t)/t$. When $\lim_{s\to 0} f(s)/s < \infty$, the same characterisations holds for the fractional heat equation on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$.

1. Introduction

Let $B_R := B_R(0)$ be the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of radius R centred at 0. We consider local existence of solutions of the semilinear fractional heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \triangle^{\alpha/2}u + f(u), \\ u(0) = u_0 \ge 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, when $\Omega = B_R$, $u_0 \in L^q(B_R)$, $1 \le q < \infty$. $\triangle^{\alpha/2} := -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ denotes the fractional Laplacian defined by the Fourier transform

$$(\mathcal{F}(-\triangle)^{\alpha/2}u)(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi),$$

where $0 < \alpha \le 2$, $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform. If $\alpha = 2$, the fractional Laplacian $\triangle^{\alpha/2}$ becomes the Laplacian $\triangle$.

We present the characteristic conditions on f such that (1.1) has a local solution bounded in $L^q(\Omega)$ for non-negative initial data in $L^q(\Omega)$. Many previous results

Key words and phrases. Fractional heat equation; Dirichlet fractional heat kernel; Local existence; Non-existence.

2010 Mathematics Subjects Classification: 35K55.

about the existence of semilinear parabolic equations or semilinear fractional parabolic equations are concerned with the nonlinearity term $f(u) = u^p$, or f is assumed to be convex. In this paper, we only require that $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing. The non-existence results are based on lower bounds of the fractional heat kernel, particularly on lower bounds of the function that the fractional heat semigroup acts on the characteristic function of a ball.

For $\alpha = 2$, when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, $f(u) = u^p (p > 1)$, Fujita [9] proved that (1.1) has a global solution $u(t, x)$ for sufficiently small u_0 if $d > \frac{2}{p-1}$, but (1.1) has no global solution for any $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ if $d > \frac{2}{p-1}$. For the critical case $d = \frac{2}{p-1}$, Hayakawa [10] showed that there is no global solution if d equals to 1 or 2. Kobayashi et al. [11] obtained the same result for general d. The critical exponent for nonlinear evolution equations has been studied by many authors, see for example, [20], [21], and references therein. Weissler [19] studied the semilinear parabolic equation

$$u_t = Au + f(u), \ u(0) = u_0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $u : [0, T] \to L^q(\Omega)$, Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$, A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup e^{tA} on $L^q(\Omega)$, f has a polynomial growth. One main difference between [19] and previous work is that the initial data $u(0)$ is allowed to be an arbitrary function u_0 in $L^q(\Omega)$. If $\alpha = 2$, $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$, (1.1) was considered by Brezis and Cazenave [22] when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is smooth bounded domain and $p > 1$, $u_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$. The critical exponent is $q = d(p-1)/2$. They obtained the existence and uniqueness of a local solution for any $u_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ provided that $q > d(p-1)/2$ $(resp.\ q = d(p-1)/2)$ and $q \geq 1$ $(resp.\ q > 1)$, $d \geq 1$.

In the last decades, fractional laplacian has attracted a great deal of attention, which naturally arises in anomalous diffusion [24], conformal geometry [28], phase transitions [27], quasi-geostrophic flows [23], etc. Differential equations with fractional Laplacian appeared in many papers, see for example [25], [26], [29], [31], [32].

Sugitani [17] studied the equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -(-\frac{\triangle}{2})^{\alpha/2}u + f(u), \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, u_0 is nontrivial, nonnegative and continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $f(u)$ be a nonnegative continuous function with $f(0) = 0$, defined on $[0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions:

(f.1) f is increasing and convex.

(f.2) There exists some $\beta \in [0, \frac{\beta}{d}]$ and $c' \in (0, \infty)$, such that $\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{u^{1+\beta}} = c'$.

(f.3) $\int_1^\infty \frac{du}{f(u)} < \infty$.

Under the above assumptions, Sugitani proved that the nonnegative solution $u(t, x)$ of (1.3) blows up in finite time, i.e., there exists some $t_0 > 0$ such that $u(t, x) = \infty$ for every $t \geq t_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Fino and Kirane [30] considered a time-space nonlocal nonlinear parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (-\triangle)^{\alpha/2}u = \dfrac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \displaystyle\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta}|u|^{p-1}u(s)ds, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $d \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $p > 1$ and $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which denotes the space of all continuous functions tending to zero at infinity. They showed that blowing-up solution exist and study their time blowup profile. The necessary conditions for local or global existence is established. Tan and Xu [15] considered the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -(-\triangle)^{\alpha}u + h(t)u^v, \ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,T), \\ u(0) = u_0, \ u_0 \geq 0, \ u_0 \not\equiv 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $v > 1$, u is a curve in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)(1 < q < \infty)$, $u : [0, T] \to L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $h(t)$ is continuous and $c_0 t^\sigma \leq h(t) \leq c_1 t^\sigma$ for large t, c_0, $c_1 > 0$, $\sigma > -1$ are constants. The initial value $u(0)$ is assumed to be in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The authors discussed the existence and non-existence of global solutions.

However, if we only assume that f is monotonic, most of the above existence results about semilinear parabolic equations and semilinear fractional parabolic equations will be not valid. Laister et al. [6] considered the semilinear heat equation $u_t - \triangle u = f(u)$, where $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing but need not be convex. For $q \geq 1$, the authors gave the characteristic conditions for f such that the equation has a local solution bounded in $L^q(\Omega)$ for all non-negative initial value $u_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain with Dirichlet boundary conditions. They also presented the characteristic conditions for the equation on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$. It is pointed out in [6] that local non-existence is 'immediate blowup' in some sense, which is different from 'finite-time blowup'(see, [18], [33], [34]).

In this paper, we give a characteristic of f such that for $q \geq 1$, (1.1) has a local solution bounded in $L^q(B_R)$ for all non-negative initial value $u_0 \in L^q(B_R)$. We show that if for $q \geq 1$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\lim_{s\to\infty} \sup s^{-(1+\alpha q/d)} f(s) = \infty$, then there exists a non-negative initial value u_0 for which (1.1) has no local solution bounded in $L^q(B_R)$. If $\lim_{s\to\infty} \sup s^{-(1+\alpha q/d)} f(s) < \infty$, we see that $f(s) \leq c(1 + s^{1+\alpha q/d})$ for some positive constant c. From the fact that $u_t = \triangle^{\alpha/2} u + c(1 + u^p)$, $p = 1 + \alpha q/d$ has the local L^q existence property, it follows (see Theorem 2) that for $q > 1$ and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, equation (1.1) has the local L^q existence property for every non-negative $u_0 \in L^q(B_R)$ if and only if $\lim_{s\to\infty} \sup s^{-(1+\alpha q/d)} f(s) < \infty$. The case $q = 1$ is more delicate. We prove that the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k^{-(1+\alpha/d)} f(s_k) = \infty$ for some sequence s_k and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ such that $s_{k+1} \geq \tau s_k$ ($\tau > 1$) can guarantee a non-existence result. By the equivalent integral condition $\int_1^{\infty} s^{-(1+\alpha/d)} F(s) = \infty$, $F(s) = \sup_{1\leq t\leq s} \frac{f(t)}{t}$ and some properties of supersolutions, we obtain a result that equation (1.1) has the local L^1 existence property if and only if

$$\int_1^{\infty} s^{-(1+\alpha/d)} F(s) ds < \infty, \text{ where } F(s) = \sup_{1\leq t\leq s} \frac{f(t)}{t}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show some lower bounds on solutions of fractional heat equation for the initial value is the characteristic function of a ball. In Section 3, we consider the problem with the initial data in $L^q(B_R)$, $1 < q < \infty$. Section 4 contains the results for initial data in $L^1(B_R)$. In Section 5, we discuss the problem on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$.

2. Lower bounds on solutions of the Dirichlet fractional heat equation

For any $r > 0$, we denote by χ_r the characteristic function of $B_r := B_r(0)$. The volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is ω_d. The solution of the fractional heat equation on B_R with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \triangle^{\alpha/2} u, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \in L^1(B_R), \\ u|_{\partial B_R} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

can be expressed as

$$u(t,x) = (S_\alpha(t)u_0)(x) := \int_{B_R} p_D(t,x,y)u_0(y)dy, \tag{2.2}$$

where $p_D(x,y,t)$ is the heat kernel of $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ on B_R with Dirichlet boundary $u|_{\partial B_R} = 0$. It is well known that $S_\alpha(t)$ is a semigroup with the generator $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$, see [3].

Let $p(t,x,y)$ be the heat kernel of $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We have the following inequality (see [4])

$$0 \le p_D(t,x,y) \le p(t,x,y) \text{ for all } t > 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.3}$$

Throughout this paper, we use c_0, c_1, c_2, ... to denote generic constants, which may change from line to line. For two nonnegative functions f_1 and f_2, the notion $f_1 \asymp f_2$ means that $c_1 f_2(x) \le f_1(x) \le c_2 f_2(x)$, where c_1, c_2 are positive constants. It is well known that (see, e.g., [2, 3, 5])

$$p(t,x,y) \asymp \big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\big),$$

that is, there exist constants c_1, c_2 such that for $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$c_1\big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\big) \le p(t,x,y) \le c_2\big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\big), \tag{2.4}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants depending on α.

From (2.4), it is easy to see that $p(t,x,y)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\frac{c_1 t}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |y-x|)^{d+\alpha}} \le p(t,x,y) \le \frac{c_2 t}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |y-x|)^{d+\alpha}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, c_1 and c_2 are positive constants depending on α.

Lemma 1. *(see* [1]*, Theorem 1.1.) Let D be a $C^{1,1}$ open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \ge 1$ and $\delta_D(x)$ the Euclidean distance between x and D^c.*

(i) For every $T > 0$, on $(0,T] \times D \times D$,

$$p_D(t,x,y) \asymp \big(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(x)^{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{t}}\big)\big(1 \wedge \frac{\delta_D(y)^{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{t}}\big)\big(t^{-1/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}}\big).$$

(ii) Suppose in addition that D is bounded. For every $T > 0$, there are positive constants $c_1 < c_2$ such that on $[T,\infty) \times D \times D$,

$$c_1 e^{-\lambda_0 t}\delta_D(x)^{\alpha/2}\delta_D(y)^{\alpha/2} \le p_D(t,x,y) \le c_2 e^{-\lambda_0 t}\delta_D(x)^{\alpha/2}\delta_D(y)^{\alpha/2},$$

where $\lambda_0 > 0$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}|_D$, $p_D(t,x,y)$ is the Dirichlet fractional heat kernel.

For the definition of $C^{1,1}$ open set, we refer to [1].

Lemma 2. *For any $r > 0$, $\delta > 0$ for which $B_{r+2\delta} \subset B_R$, there exists a positive constant $c(d,\alpha)$, such that for all $0 < t \le \delta^\alpha$,*

$$S_\alpha(t)\chi_r \ge c(d,\alpha)\big(\frac{r}{r+t^{1/\alpha}}\big)^d \chi_{r+t^{1/\alpha}}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Since $B_{r+2\delta} \subset B_R$, for all $t \in (0,\delta^\alpha)$ and $x \in B_{r+t^{1/\alpha}}$, we have $\mathrm{dist}(x, B(0,R)^c) \ge \delta$. For such x and t, by (i) of Lemma 1,

$$p_D(t,x,y) \ge c_0(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}). \tag{2.7}$$

Then

$$[S_\alpha(t)\chi_r](x) = \int_{B_r(0)} p_D(t,x,y)dy \\ \geq c_0 \int_{B_r} (t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}})dy.$$

And we have

$$[S_\alpha(t)\chi_r](x) \geq c_1 \int_{B_r} \frac{t}{(t^{1/\alpha}+|y-x|)^{d+\alpha}}dy. \tag{2.8}$$

Since $\int_{B_r} \frac{t}{(t^{1/\alpha}+|y-x|)^{d+\alpha}}dy$ is radially symmetric and decreasing with $|x|$, then for $|x| \leq r + t^{1/\alpha}$ and any unit vector τ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[S_\alpha(t)\chi_r](x) &\geq c_1 \int_{B_r} \frac{t}{(t^{1/\alpha}+|y-x|)^{d+\alpha}}dy \\ &\geq c_1 \int_{B_r((r+t^{1/\alpha})\tau)} \frac{t}{(t^{1/\alpha}+|z|)^{d+\alpha}}dz \\ &= c_1 \int_{B_{rt^{-1/\alpha}}((t^{-1/\alpha}r+1)\tau)} \frac{1}{(1+|w|)^{d+\alpha}}dw. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Note that $B_{t^{-1/\alpha}r}((t^{-1/\alpha}r+1)\tau) \subset B_{t^{-1/\alpha}\rho}((t^{-1/\alpha}\rho+1)\tau)$, if $\rho \geq r$. Then for $r \geq t^{1/\alpha}$, by (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[S_\alpha(t)\chi_r](x) &\geq c_1 \int_{B_1(2\tau)} \frac{1}{(1+|w|)^{d+\alpha}}dw \\ &= c_2(d,\alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $c_2(d,\alpha)$ is a positive constant, which only depends on d and α. For $r \leq t^{1/\alpha}$, by (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}[S_\alpha(t)\chi_r](x) &\geq c_1 \int_{B_{rt^{-1/\alpha}}((t^{-1/\alpha}r+1)\tau)} \frac{1}{(2+2rt^{-1/\alpha})^{d+\alpha}}dw \\ &\geq \frac{c_1(rt^{-1/\alpha})^d}{(2+2rt^{-1/\alpha})^{d+\alpha}} \\ &\geq c_3(d,\alpha)(rt^{-1/\alpha})^d, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $c_3(d,\alpha)$ is a positive constant, which only depends on d and α.

Set $c(d,\alpha)=\min\{c_2(d,\alpha),c_3(d,\alpha)\}$. Then by (2.10) and (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned}[S_\alpha(t)\chi_r](x) &\geq c(d,\alpha)\big(\frac{r}{\max\{r,t^{1/\alpha}\}}\big)^d\\ &\geq c(d,\alpha)\big(\frac{r}{r+t^{1/\alpha}}\big)^d.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1. *For any $r,\delta>0$ for which $B_{r+2\delta}\subset B_R$, there exists a constant $\mu(d,\alpha)$, depending only on d and α, such that for all $0<t\leq\delta^\alpha$,*

$$\int_{B_R} S_\alpha(t)\chi_r dx\geq\mu(d,\alpha)r^d.$$

Proof. By (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R} S_\alpha(t)\chi_r dx &\geq c(d,\alpha)\big(\frac{r}{r+t^{1/\alpha}}\big)^d\int_{B_R}\chi_{r+t^{1/\alpha}}dx\\ &\geq c(d,\alpha)\omega_d r^d.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2. *For any $r,\delta>0$ for which $B_{r+2\delta}\subset B_R$, there exists a constant $\nu(d,\alpha)$, depending only on d and α, such that for all $0<t\leq\min\{\delta^\alpha,r^\alpha\}$,*

$$S_\alpha(t)\chi_r\geq\nu(d,\alpha)\chi_{r+t^{1/\alpha}}.$$

3. Initial data in $L^q(B_R)$, $1<q<\infty$

Definition 1. *Assume that $f:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ and $u_0\geq 0$, u is said to be a local integral solution of (1.1) on $[0,T)$ for some $T>0$ if $u:B_R\times[0,T)\to[0,\infty)$ is measurable, finite almost everywhere, and satisfies*

$$u(t)=S_\alpha(t)u_0+\int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(u(s))ds \tag{3.1}$$

almost everywhere in $B_R\times[0,T)$.

Definition 2. *u is called a local L^q solution of (1.1) if u is a local integral solution on $[0,T)$ for some $T>0$ and $u\in L^\infty((0,T);L^q(B_R))$. If for every non-negative*

$u_0 \in L^q(B_R)$, the integral solution $u \in L^q(B_R)$, we say that (1.1) has the local existence property in $L^q(B_R)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be non-decreasing. If $q \in [1,\infty)$, $\alpha \in (1,2]$ and*

$$\limsup_{s\to\infty} s^{-(1+\alpha q/d)} f(s) = \infty, \tag{3.2}$$

there exists a non-negative $u_0 \in L^q(B_R)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \triangle^{\alpha/2} u + f(u), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \\ u|_{\partial B_R} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

has no local L^q solution.

Proof. By (3.2), there exists an increasing sequence $\phi_k (k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ such that

$$\phi_k \geq k, \quad f(\phi_k) \geq \phi_k^p e^{k/q},$$

where $p = 1 + \alpha q/d$.

Set $r_k = \varepsilon \phi_k^{-q/d} k^{-\alpha q/d}$ and express the initial data $u_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k$, $u_k = \frac{1}{\nu(d,\alpha)} \phi_k \chi_{r_k}$, where $\nu(d,\alpha)$ is the same constant as that in Corollary 2, ε is small enough such that $B_{3r_k} \subset B_R$ for every k. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_{L^q}^q &= \frac{\omega_d}{\nu(d,\alpha)^q} r_k^d \phi_k^q \\ &= \frac{\omega_d}{\nu(d,\alpha)^q} (\varepsilon \phi_k^{-q/d} k^{-\alpha q/d})^d \phi_k^q \\ &= \frac{\omega_d}{\nu(d,\alpha)^q} \varepsilon^d k^{-\alpha q}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|u_k\|_{L^q} = \frac{\omega_d^{1/q}}{\nu(d,\alpha)} \varepsilon^{d/q} k^{-\alpha},$$

and

$$\|u_0\|_{L^q} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|u_k\|_{L^q} = \frac{\omega_d^{1/q}}{\nu(d,\alpha)} \varepsilon^{d/q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} < \infty.$$

If a solution $u(t)$ of (3.3) exists, then

$$u(t) = S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(u(s))ds. \tag{3.4}$$

From $u \geq 0$, $f \geq 0$, it follows that

$$u(t) \geq S_\alpha(t)u_0 \geq S_\alpha(t)u_k. \tag{3.5}$$

From now, we fix k. By (3.4), (3.5) and note that f is non-decreasing,

$$u(t) \geq \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(s_\alpha(s)u_k)ds. \tag{3.6}$$

In corollary 2, taking $\delta = r_k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(s)u_k &= S_\alpha(s)\big(\frac{1}{\nu(d,\alpha)}\phi_k\chi_{r_k}\big) \\ &= \frac{\phi_k}{\nu(d,\alpha)}S_\alpha(s)\chi_{r_k} \\ &\geq \phi_k\chi_{r_k+t^{1/\alpha}} \\ &\geq \phi_k\chi_{r_k}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $s \in [0, r_k^{1/\alpha}]$.

By (3.7), we have

$$f(S_\alpha(s)u_k) \geq f(\phi_k)\chi_{r_k}, \quad 0 < s \leq r_k^\alpha.$$

This together with Corollary 2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)u_k) &\geq \nu(d,\alpha)f(\phi_k)\chi_{r_k+(t-s)^{1/\alpha}} \\ &\geq \nu(d,\alpha)f(\phi_k)\chi_{r_k}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $0 < s \leq t \leq r_k^\alpha$.

Set $t_k = r_k^\alpha$, for any $t \in [t_k/2, t_k]$, by (3.6), (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u(t)](x) &\geq \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)u_k)ds \\ &\geq \int_0^{r_k^\alpha/2} \nu(d,\alpha)f(\phi_k)\chi_{r_k}ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}r_k^\alpha\nu(d,\alpha)f(\phi_k)\chi_{r_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t)\|_{L^q}^q &\geq \int_{B_{r_k}} |u(t)|^q dx \\
&\geq \big(\frac{\nu(d,\alpha)}{2}\big)^q r_k^{\alpha q} f(\phi_k)^q r_k^d \omega_d \\
&= \big(\frac{\nu(d,\alpha)}{2}\big)^q \omega_d r_k^{\alpha q+d} f(\phi_k)^q \\
&\geq \big(\frac{\nu(d,\alpha)}{2}\big)^q \omega_d \big(\varepsilon \phi_k^{-q/d} k^{-\alpha q/d}\big)^{\alpha q+d} \phi_k^{(1+(\alpha q/d))q} e^k \\
&\geq \big(\frac{\nu(d,\alpha)}{2}\big)^q \omega_d \varepsilon^{\alpha q+d} k^{-\alpha q(1+\alpha q/d)} e^k \\
&\to \infty
\end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$. This implies u does not belong to $L^\infty(0,T); L^q(B_R))$ for any $T > 0$. □

The initial data is always assumed to be an element $\mathcal{M}_S^+(B_R)$, the set of non-negative, a.e. finite measurable functions on B_R such that

$$S_\alpha(t)u_0 = \int_{B_R} p_D(t,\cdot,y)u_0(y)dy < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0.$$

Define the operator

$$\mathcal{F}[v](t) = S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(v(s))ds. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}^+(B_R)$ be the set of nonnegative, almost everywhere finite, measurable functions on B_R. A function u is said to be a solution of (3.9) if for any $u \in \mathcal{M}^+(B_R)$ such that $\mathcal{F}[u] = u$ a.e. in B_R. Any $w \in \mathcal{M}^+(B_R)$ satisfying $\mathcal{F}[w] \leq w$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}[w] \geq w$) will be called a supersolution (resp. subsolution).

Lemma 3. *Suppose $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous, nondecreasing and let $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}_S^+(B_R)$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ admits a solution in B_R if and only if it admits a supersolution in B_R.*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 1 in [13], with the difference that $S_\alpha(t)$ is changed to $S(t)$, the heat semigroup. So it is omitted. □

Theorem 2. *Let $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be non-decreasing and continuous. If $q \in (1,\infty)$, $\alpha \in (1,2]$, then (3.3) has the local existence property in $L^q(B_R)$ if and only if*

$$\limsup_{s\to\infty} s^{-(1+\alpha q/d)} f(s) < \infty.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1, we only need to prove the sufficiency. Since $\limsup_{s\to\infty} s^{-(1+\alpha q/d)} f(s) < \infty$, there exists a positive constant c such that

$$f(s) \le c(1+s^p), \text{ where } p = 1+\alpha q/d. \tag{3.10}$$

Consider the equation

$$u_t = \triangle^{\alpha/2} u + c(1+u^p). \tag{3.11}$$

Set $A = -\Delta$, it is known that $-A$ generates a strongly continuous bounded semigroup $S(t) = \exp(-tA)$ on $L^p(B_R)$ (see Theorem 3.5 in [7]). Then the fractional power $-A^{\alpha/2} = \Delta^{\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, generates an analytic semigroup $S_\alpha(t) = \exp(-tA^{\alpha/2}) = \exp(t\Delta^{\alpha/2})$ on $L^p(B_R)$ (See [8]). Then we have the following smoothing effect (See [31], for example)

$$\|S_\alpha(t)\varphi\|_{L^q} \le Ct^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q})}\|\varphi\|_{L^r}, \tag{3.12}$$

for all $\varphi \in L^r$ and all $1 \le r \le q \le \infty$, $t > 0$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [15] (or the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [30]), we can get the local L^q existence property of (3.11). This together with Lemma 3 yield the conclusion. □

4. Initial data in $L^1(B_R)$

4.1. A condition for non-existence of a local L^1 solution.

Theorem 3. *Assume that $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is non-deceasing and there exists a sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$s_{k+1} \ge \tau s_k,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k^{-p} f(s_k) = \infty,$$

where $\tau > 1$, $p = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{d}$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$. *Then there exists a non-negative initial data* $u_0 \in L^1(B_R)$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \triangle^{\alpha/2} u + f(u), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \\ u|_{\partial B_R} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

has no local integral solution that belongs to $L^1_{loc}(B_R)$ *for* $t > 0$, *thus no local* L^1 *solution exists.*

Proof. Let $\phi_k = \frac{s_k}{c(d,\alpha)}$ and $u_n(x) = \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \beta_n^d \chi_{1/\beta_n}$, where $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, $\beta_n = (n^\alpha \phi_{\xi_n})^{1/d}$, ξ_n will be chosen later. Set $u_0(x) = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} u_n(x)$, where n_0 satisfies $\frac{1}{\beta_{n_0}} < \frac{1}{3} R$. For all $n \geq n_0$, $B_{1/\beta_n + 2/3R} \subset B_R$. Note that

$$\|u_0\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\|_{L^1} = \omega_d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\alpha} < \infty.$$

Similar to the argument in the proof of Theorem , we have

$$\int_{B_R} u(t, x) dx \geq \int_{B_R} \int_0^t S_\alpha(t - s) f(S_\alpha(s) u_n) ds dx.$$

Let $v_0 = \psi \beta^d \chi_{1/\beta}$, ψ is a positive constant to be chosen later. With $r = \frac{1}{\beta}$ and $\delta = \frac{R}{3}$, by Lemma 2, we get

$$S_\alpha(s) v_0 \geq c(d, \alpha) \frac{\psi \beta^d}{(1 + \beta s^{1/\alpha})^d} \chi_{1/\beta + t^{1/\alpha}}. \tag{4.2}$$

From (4.2), it follows that for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{\beta} + t^{1/\alpha}$ and $s \leq t_k := \min\{(\frac{R}{3})^\alpha, [(\frac{\psi}{\phi_k})^{1/d} - \frac{1}{\beta}]^\alpha\}$,

$$S_\alpha(s) v_0 \geq c(d, \alpha) \phi_k, \tag{4.3}$$

where ψ satisfies $\psi \geq \frac{\phi_k}{\beta^d}$.

For $t \in (0, (\frac{R}{3})^\alpha)$, since f is non-decreasing, by (4.3) and Corollary 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_R}\int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)v_0)dsdx \\
&\geq \sum_k \int_{B_R}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)v_0)dsdx \\
&= \sum_k \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}\int_{B_R} S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)v_0)dxds \\
&\geq \sum_k f(c(d,\alpha)\phi_k)\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}\int_{B_R} S_\alpha(t-s)\chi_{1/\beta+t^{1/\alpha}}dxds \\
&\geq \mu(d,\alpha)\sum_k f(c(d,\alpha)\phi_k)\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}(\frac{1}{\beta}+s^{1/\alpha})^d ds \\
&\geq \mu(d,\alpha)\sum_k f(c(d,\alpha)\phi_k)\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} s^{d/\alpha}ds, \qquad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum in k is taken over those for which

$$\frac{1}{\beta^d}\phi_k \leq \psi \leq (\frac{1}{\beta}+t^{1/\alpha})^d\phi_k. \qquad (4.5)$$

For k satisfying (4.5) and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta^d}\phi_{k+1} \leq \psi$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} s^{d/\alpha}ds &= \frac{\alpha}{d+\alpha}(t_k^{d/\alpha+1}-t_{k+1}^{d/\alpha+1}) \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{d+\alpha}\big\{[(\frac{\psi}{\phi_k})^{1/d}-\frac{1}{\beta}]^{d+\alpha}-[(\frac{\psi}{\phi_{k+1}})^{1/d}-\frac{1}{\beta}]^{d+\alpha}\big\} \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{d+\alpha}(\frac{\psi}{\phi_k})^{1+\alpha/d}\big\{\big[1-(\frac{\phi_k}{\psi\beta^d})^{1/d}\big]^{d+\alpha}-\frac{\phi_k^{1+\alpha/d}}{\phi_{k+1}^{1+\alpha/d}}\big[1-(\frac{\phi_{k+1}}{\psi\beta^d})^{1/d}\big]^{d+\alpha}\big\} \\
&\geq \frac{\alpha}{d+\alpha}(\frac{\psi}{\phi_k})^{1+\alpha/d}\big(1-\frac{\phi_k^{1+\alpha/d}}{\phi_{k+1}^{1+\alpha/d}}\big)\big[1-(\frac{\phi_{k+1}}{\psi\beta^d})^{1/d}\big]^{d+\alpha}. \qquad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_{k+1} \geq \tau\phi_k$, $\frac{2}{\beta^d}\phi_{k+1} \leq \psi$, by (4.6), we have

$$\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} s^{d/\alpha}ds \geq c(d,\alpha,\tau)\frac{\alpha}{d+\alpha}(\frac{\psi}{\phi_k})^{1+\alpha/d}, \qquad (4.7)$$

where $c(d,\alpha,\tau)$ is a positive constant.

By (4.4), (4.7),

$$\int_{B_R}\int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)v_0)ds \geq \mu(d,\alpha)c(d,\alpha,\tau)\sum_k f(c(d,\alpha)\phi_k)(\frac{\psi}{\phi_k})^{1+\alpha/d}$$
$$= c\psi^p\sum_k f(s_k)s_k^{-p}, \tag{4.8}$$

where the sum in k is taken over

$$\big\{k : \frac{\alpha}{\beta^d} \leq \frac{\psi}{\phi_{k+1}} \leq \frac{\psi}{\phi_k} \leq (\frac{1}{\beta}+t^{1/\alpha})^d\big\}. \tag{4.9}$$

For $t \in (0, (\frac{R}{3})^\alpha)$, when n is large enough such that $t^{1/\alpha}n^{\alpha/d} \geq 1$, let $\psi = n^{-\alpha}$, $\beta = \beta_n = (n^\alpha\phi_{\xi_n})^{1/d}$, then the set (4.9) contains

$$\big\{k : 1 \leq \phi_k, \text{and } \ \phi_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi_{\xi_n}\big\} = \{k : k_0 \leq k \leq k_n\},$$

where k_0 is the smallest value of k such that $\phi_k \geq 1$, ξ_n is chosen to satisfy $\phi_{k_n+1} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi_{\xi_n}$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^\infty s_k^{-p}f(s_k) = \infty$, by (4.9), $\phi_k = \frac{s_k}{c(d,\alpha)}$ and $\psi = n^{-\alpha}$, we can choose k_n such that $n^{-\alpha p}\sum_{k=k_0}^{k_n} f(s_k)s_k^{-p} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. □

We can get an equivalent integral condition for non-existence of a local L^1 solution of (4.1).

Lemma 4. *(See Lemma 4.2 in* [6]*.) Assume that $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing and $p > 1$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) The exists a sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $s_{k+1} \geq \tau s_k$, $\tau > 1$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty s_k^{-p}f(s_k) = \infty.$$

(ii) $\int_1^\infty s^{-p}F(s)ds = \infty$, where $F(s) = \sup_{1\leq t\leq s}\frac{f(t)}{t}$.

Remark 1. *In the Lemma 5.3, f is supposed to be continuous. In fact, in Lemma 4.2 in* [6]*, there is no such requirement. But in the proof of Lemma 4.2 in* [6]*, some sequence is assumed to make that the supreme in (ii) is attainable, this needs some additional conditions other than f is non-decreasing.*

4.2. **An integral condition for local existence.**

Lemma 5. *Let $u_0 \geq 0$. If $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing and there exists a function $v \in L^1((0,T) \times B_R)$ such that*

$$S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(v(s))ds \leq v(t),\ t \in [0,T], \tag{4.10}$$

then there exists a local integral solution u of (1.1), $u(t,x) \leq v(t,x)$, $x \in B_R$, $t \in [0,T]$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, the conclusion holds. □

Let X, Y be two Banach spaces. By $L(X,Y)$, we denote the space of all bounded linear operators from X into Y. If $X = Y$, we simply rewrite $L(X,Y)$ as $L(X)$. A function $S : [0,\infty) \to L(X,Y)$ is strongly continuous if $t \mapsto S(t)x$ is continuous for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 6. *(See Proposition 1.3.4 in [16]) Let $f \in L^1_{loc}([0,\infty),X)$ and let $S : [0,\infty) \to L(X,Y)$ be strongly continuous. Then the convolution*

$$(S * f)(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s)ds$$

exists (as a Bochner integral) and defines a continuous function $S * f : [0,\infty) \to Y$.

Theorem 4. *If $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing and*

$$\int_1^\infty s^{-(1+\alpha/d)}F(s)ds < \infty,\ \textit{where } F(s) = \sup_{1\leq t\leq s}\frac{f(t)}{t}, \tag{4.11}$$

then for every non-negative $u_0 \in L^1(B_R)$, there exists a constant $T > 0$ such that (4.1) has a solution

$$u \in L^\infty_{loc}((0,T);L^\infty(B_R)) \cap C([0,T];L^1(B_R)).$$

Proof. First, we consider the case that $u_0 = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(t)\chi_{B_R} &= \int_{B_R} p_D(t,x,y)\chi_{B_R}(y)dy \\ &\le \int_{R^d} p_D(t,x,y)\chi_{B_R}(y)dy \\ &\le \chi_{B_R}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

For $t \in [0, t_0]$, since $S_\alpha(t)$ is strongly continuous, there exists a positive constant M_{t_0}, such that

$$\|S_\alpha(t)\| \le M_{t_0}. \tag{4.13}$$

By (4.12) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)\chi_{B_R})ds &\le \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)(f(1)\chi_{B_R})ds \\ &\le tM_{t_0}f(1)\chi_{B_R} \\ &\le \chi_{B_R} \end{aligned}$$

for all t small enough.

Second, we consider the case that $u_0 \neq 0$. Define $\tilde{f}(s) = f(s)$ for $s \in [0,1]$ and $\tilde{f}(s) = sF(s)$ for $s > 1$. We see that $f(s) \le \tilde{f}(s)$, $\tilde{f}(s)/s : [1,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is non-decreasing. Since any supersolution of the equation

$$u_t = \Delta^{\alpha/2}u + \tilde{f}(u) \tag{4.14}$$

is a supersolution of (4.1). We only need to show that (4.14) has a supersolution.

The integral condition in (4.11) can be rewritten as

$$\int_1^\infty s^{-(2+\alpha/d)}\tilde{f}(s)ds < \infty,$$

letting $s = \tau^{-d/\alpha}$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \tau^{d/\alpha}\tilde{f}(\tau^{-d/\alpha})d\tau < \infty.$$

For any $B > 1$, set $v(t) = BS(t)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(v(t)) &= S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)\tilde{f}(v(s))ds \\ &= S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)\tilde{f}(BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})ds \\ &= S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)\frac{\tilde{f}(BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})}{BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}}(BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})ds \\ &\le S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)\|\frac{\tilde{f}(BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})}{BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}}\|_{L^\infty}(BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})ds.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Since for all $t > 0$, $S_\alpha(t)\chi_{B_R} \le \chi_{B_R}$, by (4.15),

$$\mathcal{F}(v(t)) \le S_\alpha(t)u_0 + (BS_\alpha(t)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})\int_0^t \|\frac{\tilde{f}(BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})}{BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}}\|_{L^\infty}ds.$$

Since $\tilde{f}(s)/s$ is non-decreasing for $s \ge 1$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}(v(t)) \le S_\alpha(t)u_0 + (BS_\alpha(t)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})\int_0^t \frac{\tilde{f}(\|BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}\|_{L^\infty})}{\|BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}\|_{L^\infty}}\|ds. \tag{4.16}$$

By the smoothing estimate (3.12), we have

$$\|S_\alpha(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty} \le Ct^{-d/\alpha}\|u_0\|_{L^1}. \tag{4.17}$$

Then

$$\|BS_\alpha(s)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}\|_{L^\infty} \le B\|S_\alpha(s)u_0\|_{L^\infty} + 1 \le BCs^{-d/\alpha}\|u_0\|_{L^1} + 1 \le 2BCs^{-d/\alpha}\|u_0\|_{L^1} \tag{4.18}$$

holds for small enough s.

By (4.16) and (4.18), we have

$$\mathcal{F}(v(t)) \le S_\alpha(t)u_0 + (BS_\alpha(t)u_0 + \chi_{B_R})\int_0^t \frac{\tilde{f}(2BCs^{-d/\alpha}\|u_0\|_{L^1})}{2BCs^{-d/\alpha}\|u_0\|_{L^1}}ds. \tag{4.19}$$

Note that $B > 1$, letting $2BCs^{-d/\alpha} = \tau^{-d/\alpha}$, by (4.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(v(t)) &\le S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \big(\int_0^{t(2BC\|u_0\|_{L^1})^{-\alpha/d}} \tau^{d/\alpha}\tilde{f}(\tau^{-d/\alpha})d\tau\big)(2BC\|u_0\|_{L^1})^{\alpha/d}(BS_\alpha(t)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}) \\ &\le BS_\alpha(t)u_0 + \chi_{B_R},\end{aligned}$$

for t small enough. By Lemma 5, we obtain the local existence of a solution $u(t)$ which satisfies $u(t) \le v(t) = BS_\alpha(t)u_0 + \chi_{B_R}$ and $u \in L^\infty_{loc}((0,T); L^\infty(B_R))$. Since

$f(u) \in L^1((0,T);L^1(B_R))$ and

$$u(t) = S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(u(s))ds,$$

Since $f(u) \in L^1((0,T);L^1(B_R))$, by Lemma 6, we have $u \in C([0,T];L^1(B_R))$. □

Corollary 3. *Let $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing. Then (4.1) has the local L^1 existence property if and only if*

$$\int_1^\infty s^{-(1+\alpha/d)}F(s)ds < \infty, \text{ where } F(s) = \sup_{1\le t\le s}\frac{f(t)}{t}.$$

5. Results for the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$

Consider the equation (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Under the additional condition $\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $\alpha \in (1,2]$ and let $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be continuous and non-decreasing. Then*

(i) for $q \in (1,\infty)$, (1.1) has the local existence property in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{s\to 0}\frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{s\to\infty} s^{-(1+\alpha q)/d}f(s) < \infty;$$

(ii) (1.1) has the local existence property in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{s\to 0}\frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty \text{ and } \int_1^\infty s^{-(1+\alpha/d)}F(s)ds < \infty,$$

where $F(s) = \sup_{1\le t\le s}\frac{f(t)}{t}$.

Proof. (i) We first show that if (1.1) has the local existence property in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the inequalities in (i) hold. The non-existence results of Theorem 1 still hold for the equations on $\mathbb{R}^d$, since proofs of them only need the lower bounds for the Dirichlet fractional heat kernel and note that the inequality (2.7) is similar to the inequality on the left side of (2.4). We will prove that if $\lim_{s\to 0}\sup\frac{f(s)}{s} = \infty$, then there exists a non-negative $u_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the solution of (1.1) is not bounded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, we can choose a sequence $s_n \to 0$ such that $s_n \le n^{-\alpha}$ and $f(s_n) \ge n^{2\alpha}s_n$.

Set

$$u_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n,\ \ u_n = \frac{1}{\nu(d,\alpha)} s_n \chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d}}(x_n),$$

where $\nu(d,\alpha)$ is the same constant as that in Corollary 2 and x_n are chosen such that $B(x_n, n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d})$ are disjoint. Note that

$$\|u_n\|_{L^q}^q = \frac{1}{\nu(d,\alpha)^q} \omega_d n^{-\alpha q},$$

then

$$\|u_0\|_{L^q} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\|_{L^q} = \frac{1}{\nu(d,\alpha)} \omega_d^{1/q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\alpha} < \infty.$$

Since $s_n \le n^{-\alpha}$, then $n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d} \ge 1$. For all $0 < s \le 1$, by Corollary 2,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(s) u_n &= S_\alpha(s)\big(\frac{1}{\nu(d,\alpha)} s_n \chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d}}(x_n)\big) \\ &= \frac{s_n}{\nu(d,\alpha)} S_\alpha(s) \chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d}}(x_n) \\ &\ge s_n \chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d} + \sqrt{s}}(x_n) \\ &\ge s_n \chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d}}(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f(S_\alpha(s) u_n) \ge f(s_n) \chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d}}(x_n), \quad 0 < s \le 1. \tag{5.1}$$

For all $0 < t \le 1$, by (5.1) and Corollary 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(t-s) f(S_\alpha(s) u_n) &\ge \nu(d,\alpha) f(s_n) \chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d} + (t-s)^{1/\alpha}}(x_n) \\ &\ge \nu(d,\alpha) f(s_n) \chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d} s_n^{-q/d}}(x_n). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x) &\geq \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\geq \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)u_0)ds \\ &\geq \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)f(S_\alpha(s)u_n)ds \\ &\geq \nu(d,\alpha)\int_0^t f(s_n)\chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d}s_n^{-q/d}}(x_n)ds \\ &\geq \nu(d,\alpha)tn^{2\alpha}s_n\chi_{n^{-\alpha q/d}s_n^{-q/d}}(x_n), \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < t \leq 1$.

Then

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^q}^q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(t,x)|^q dx \geq \nu(d,\alpha)^q t^q \omega_d n^{\alpha q} \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Second, for $q \in (1,\infty)$, we will prove that if

$$\limsup_{s\to 0} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty \ and \ \lim_{s\to\infty} s^{-(1+\alpha q)/d} f(s) < \infty, \tag{5.3}$$

then (1.1) has the local existence property in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For convenience, we let $p = 1 + \alpha q/d$. From (5.3), it follows that

$$f(s) \leq C(s + s^p),$$

where C is a positive constant. When $f(s) = 2Cs^p$, (1.1) has the local L^q existence property for t is sufficiently small, see [15]. Given a non-negative $u_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $u(t)$ be the local L^q solution with $f(s) = 2Cs^p$. Set $v(t) = e^{2Ct}u(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_t + (-\triangle)^{\alpha/2}v &= 2Ce^{2Ct}u + e^{2Ct}(u_t + (-\triangle)^{\alpha/2}u) \\ &= 2Ce^{2Ct}u + e^{2Ct}2Cu^p \\ &\geq 2C(v + (e^{2Ct})^{1-p}v^p) \\ &\geq 2Cv + v^p > C(v + v^p), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where $(e^{2Ct})^{1-p} \geq 1/2$.

From $(e^{2Ct})^{1-p} \geq 1/2$, we have $0 < t \leq \frac{\ln 2}{2C(p-1)}$. By (5.4), it is easy to see that v is a supersolution for $0 < t \leq \frac{\ln 2}{2C(p-1)}$. Then from Lemma 5, it follows that there exists a solution of (1.1) bounded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(ii) The non-existence results of Theorem 3 still hold for the equations on $\mathbb{R}^d$, by the same argument as that in the proof of (i). By the simliar proof as that in (i), we can prove that if $\limsup_{s\to 0} \frac{f(s)}{s} = \infty$, then there exists a non-negative $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the solution of (1.1) is not bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Next we show that if

$$\limsup_{s\to 0} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty \text{ and } \int_1^\infty s^{-(1+\alpha/d)} F(s) ds < \infty,$$

where $F(s) = \sup_{1\leq t\leq s} \frac{f(t)}{t}$, then (1.1) has the local existence property in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, by $\limsup_{s\to 0} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty$ and the continuity of f, we have $f(0) = 0$. If $u_0 = 0$, then $u(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution of (1.1). If $u_0 \neq 0$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(S_\alpha(t)u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t, x, y)u(y)dy,$$

we get $S_\alpha(t)1 = 1$ for all $t > 0$, and $S_\alpha(t)u_0 > 0$ for $u_0 > 0$. Then by similar arguments to that in the proof of Theorem 4, we obtain the local L^1 existence property. □

6. Acknowledgements

Kexue Li is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under the contract No.11571269, China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Funded Project under the contract No.2015M572539 and Shaanxi Province Postdoctoral Science Foundation Funded Project. He would like to thank China Scholarship Council that has provided a scholarship for his research work in the United States.

References

[1] Z.-Q. Chen, K. Panki, R. Song, Heat kernel estimates for the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 12 (2008) 1307-1329.

[2] T. Jakubowski, G. Serafin, Stable estimates for source solution of critical fractal Burgers equation, Nonlinear. Anal. 130 (2016) 396-407.

[3] K. Bogdan, T. Byczkowski, Potential theory for the α-stable Schrödinger operators on bounded Lipschitz domains, Studia. Math. 133 (1999) 53-92.
[4] K. Bogdan, T. Grzywny, M. Ryznar, Heat kernel estimates for the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet conditions, Ann. Probab. 38 (2010) 1901-1923.
[5] Z.-Q. Chen, K. Panki, R. Song, Dirichlet heat kernel estimates for fractional Laplacian with gradient perturbation, Ann. Probab. 40 (2012) 2483-2358.
[6] R. Laister, J.C. Robinson, M. Sierżega, A. Vidal-López, A complete characterisation of local existence for semilinear heat equations in Lebesgue spaces, Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN (2015), Http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2015.06.005
[7] A. Pazy, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
[8] K. Yosida, Fractional powers of infinitesimal generators and the analyticity of the semigroups generated by them, Proc. Japan Acad. 36 (1960) 86-89.
[9] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \triangle u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect I. 13 (1966) 109-124.
[10] K. Hyakawa, On nonexistence of global solutions of some parabolic differential equations, Proc. Japan Acad. 49 (1973), 503-505.
[11] K. Kobayashi, T. Sino, H. Tanaka, On the growing up problem for semilinear heat equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan 29 (1977) 407-424.
[12] F. Weissler, Local existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29 (1980) 79-102.
[13] J.C. Robinson, M. Sierżega, Supersolutions for a class of semilinear heat equations, Rev. Mat. Complut. 26 (2013) 341-360.
[14] A. Fino, M. Kirane, Qualitive properties of solutions to a time-space fractional evolution equation, Quart. Appl. Math. 70 (2012) 133-157.
[15] Z. Tan, Y. Xu, Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semi-linear heat equation with fractional Laplacian, Acta. Math. Sci. 32 (2012) 2203-2210.
[16] W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems. Second edition. Monographs in Mathematics, 96. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
[17] S. Sugitani, On nonexistence of global solutions for some nonlinear integral equations, Osaka. J. Math 12 (1975) 45-51.
[18] S. Kaplan, On the growth of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1963) 305-330.
[19] F. B. Weissler, Local existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29(1980) 79-102.
[20] H.A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blow up theorems, SIAM Rev. 32 (1990) 262-288.
[21] K. Deng, H.A. Levine, The role of critical exponent in blow-up theorems: the sequel, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 243(2000) 85-126.
[22] H. Brezis, A. Friedman, Nonlinear parabolic equations involving measures as initial conditions, J. Anal. Math. 68(1996) 277-304.
[23] L. Caffarelli, A. Vasseur, Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation, Ann. Math. (II) 171 (2010) 1903-1930.
[24] M.M. Meerschaert, D.A. Benson, H.-P. Scheffler, B. Baeumer, Stochastic solution of space-time fractional diffusion equations, Phys. Rev. E 65 (2002) 1103-1106.
[25] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. De Pablo and U. Sanchez, On some critical problems for thefractional Laplacian operator, J. Differential Equations 252 (2012) 6133-6162.
[26] S. Raffaella, V. Enrico, The Brezis-Nirenberg result for fractional Laplacian, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015) 67-102.
[27] Y. Sire, E. Valdinoci, Fractional Laplacian phase transitions and boundary reactions: A geometric inequality and a symmetry result, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009) 1842-1864.

[28] Sun-Yung Alice Chang, MarÍa del Mar Gonzálex, Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry. Adv. Math. 226 (2011) 1410-1432.
[29] J. Chen, Q. Deng, Y. Ding, D. Fan, Estimates on fractional power dissipative equations in function spaces, Nolinear Anal. 75 (2012) 2959-2974.
[30] A. Fino, M. Kirane, Qualitative properties of solutions to a time-space fractional evolution equation, Quart. Appl. Math. 1 (2012) 133-157.
[31] A. Fino, H.Ibrahim, B. Barakeh, Decay of mass for fractional evolution equation with memory term. Quart. Appl. Math. 71 (2013) 215-228.
[32] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007) 67-112.
[33] W.-M. Ni, P. Sacks, Singular behavior in nonlinear parabolic equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 287 (1985) 657-671.
[34] T.-Y. Lee, W.-M. Ni, Global existence, large time behavior and life span of solutions of a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 333 (1992) 365-378.

Department of Mathematics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China;
Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
E-mail address: kexueli@gmail.com